

## Review form

**Note.** This document is for reference purposes only. In no case should it be completed by reviewers. The review form can only be completed online, once the reviewer is registered on the platform as an evaluator and has been assigned an article for evaluation.

Please rate the article in all the sections that appear in this protocol, making the comments and suggestions that you deem appropriate in each one of them. Kindly **submit your report no later than 30 days from the date of the review request** (if this is not possible, please inform the journal in order to avoid delays).

### STRUCTURE AND STYLE

Presentation and adaptation to the journal's publication rules, clarity, legibility, structure, bibliographical references. If it is observed that, despite the possible interest of the content, the article cannot be published without a general and in-depth style correction, please indicate this in your evaluation comments.

#### 1. Appropriate work title

Yes

No

Improve or change

#### 2. Correct abstract

Yes

No

Improve or change

#### 3. Correct keywords

Yes

No

Improve or change

#### 4. Correct speech structure

Yes

No

Improve or change

**CONTENTS**

Rationale and contributions.

**5. The topic or issue is clearly identified**

Yes

No

Improve or change

**6. The subject matter of the study is presented and explained with a well-founded line of argument, reaching conclusions that correspond to the contents developed**

Yes

No

Improve or change

**7. The study has theoretical and/or practical relevance and interest for professionals and scholars in the area**

Yes

No

Improve or change

**8. Significant contributions are made to knowledge in social and labour or human resources matters**

Yes

No

**9. Briefly state the main contributions****10. The references made (doctrinal, case-law...) are sufficient, appropriate and up to date**

Yes

No

Improve or change

**11. The issue addressed is topical**

Yes

No

Improve or change

## 12. The conclusions are clear and relevant

Yes

No

Improve or change

## ORIGINALITY AND RELEVANCE

High

Average

Fair

Low

## OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF THE QUALITY OF THE WORK

New, relevant contributions, depth of research, topicality and interest, practical application.

High

Average

Low

## RECOMENDACIÓN

Publication without modifications (or with minor formal modifications that are specified in the overall assessment of the article).

Publication after certain corrections or improvements in substance or presentation (as specified in the overall appraisal of the article).

Publication is possible after thorough corrections and improvements in substance or presentation (which are specified in the overall assessment of the article), given the particular interest of the subject, the relevance of the approach, the topicality of the issue, the novelty of the problem, etc.

Non-publication, but authors are suggested to be submitted to another journal or publication (*e. g.*, due to rejection in the previous or scheduled publication in the *RTSS. CEF* of a study(s) dealing with the same topic).

Non-publication.

Priority of publication (check the box if you consider that the publication should be a priority).

**ARTICLE REVIEW REPORT**

Prepare it taking into account that it may be sent to the authors. Please note, if applicable, the main suggestions for revision and improvement, without which it would not be susceptible to publication.

**CONFIDENTIAL COMMENTS TO THE PUBLISHING HOUSE**

DOCUMENT FOR REFERENCE ONLY